EXHIBIT K

WILLIAM J. WAGNER vs. CHIARI & ILECKI, LLP.

KRISTIAN L. BROWN October 5, 2016



Buffalo, NY: 716 856-1906 Rochester, NY: 585 697-0969 Toll Free: 800 397-1796

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	WILLIAM J. WAGNER,
5	Plaintiff,
6	Doglast No. 15 OV 622 TEG
7	vs Docket No. 15-CV-633-JTC
8	CHIARI & ILECKI, LLP,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	Examination Before Trial of KRISTIAN L. BROWN, held
12	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in
13	the law offices of CONNORS LLP, 1000 Liberty Building,
14	424 Main Street, Buffalo, New York, on Wednesday,
15	October 5, 2016 at 2:24 p.m. before Molly Fenske,
16	Notary Public.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
APPEARANCES:
1
 2
    LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH HILLER, PLLC
 3
    BY: SETH J. ANDREWS, ESQ.
    6000 North Bailey Avenue, Suite 1A
 4
    Amherst, New York 14226
    sandrews@kennethhiller.com
 5
    Appearing for the Plaintiff.
 6
    CONNORS LLP
7
    BY: PAUL A. WOODARD, ESQ.
    1000 Liberty Building
    424 Main Street
8
    Buffalo, New York 14202
    paw@connorsllp.com
    Appearing for the Defendant.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

INDEX TO WITNESS
KRISTIAN L. BROWN PAGE
Examination by Mr. Andrews5
INDEX TO EXHIBITS
None marked.

1 (Whereupon, the following stipulations 2 were entered into by the respective parties: 3 It is hereby stipulated by and between counsel for the respective parties that the oath of 4 the referee is waived, that filing and certification 5 of the transcript are waived, and all objections, 6 7 except as to the form of the question, are reserved until the time of trial.) 8 9 THE REPORTER: Mr. Andrews, you'll supply Mr. Woodard? 10 11 MR. ANDREWS: Yes. 12 THE REPORTER: Read and sign in sixty 13 days? 14 MR. WOODARD: Yep. 15 KRISTIAN L. BROWN, 92 Peter Street, Buffalo, New York 14207, having been duly called and 16 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 17 Hello, my name is Seth 18 MR. ANDREWS: 19 I am the attorney for the plaintiff in this 20 matter, William J. Wagner. He's filed a lawsuit 2.1 naming Chiari & Ilecki, the defendant, alleging violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. 2.2 23 We're here today to take your deposition as a fact 24 witness as it pertains to some of the circumstances 25 leading up to the complaint.

1	I'm going to ask you some questions. Do
2	your best to respond the best you can. I need you to
3	give me verbal responses. You can't do head nods. I
4	know it's something that's I do it all the time.
5	THE WITNESS: Force of habit, okay. Yes.
6	MR. ANDREWS: I'll help you. We do it to
7	maintain a clear record.
8	If you think you know what I'm going to
9	say, which probably sometimes happens a lot, wait
10	until I'm done so we don't have an overlapping. She
11	can't take it down if we're both talking over each
12	other.
13	If for some reason I'm speaking too fast,
14	which also happens a lot, let me know and I'll try to
15	slow down.
16	If you don't understand a question, let me
17	know. I'll rephrase it.
18	If you need to take a break at any time,
19	that's fine too. We're probably going to be real
20	quick so I don't anticipate it, but if something came
21	up, no problem. I would just ask that if I asked you
22	a question, you answer the question prior to your
23	break. That's pretty much it.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDREWS:

- Before I get into the some of the questions Ο. though, I just want to make sure, there's no reason that you can think of today that you would be unable to provide accurate and truthful testimony? No, no reason. Α. Not on any kind of medication that would prohibit accurate recall or, you know, allow you to provide accurate testimony? Α. No. What's your date of birth? Ο. /86. Α. Ο. Where were you born? Α. Buffalo, New York. What's the highest level of education you've Ο. obtained? High school. Α. Ο. Where did you go to high school?
- 16
- 17
- 18 Α. Hutchinson Technical. Hutch-Tech, sorry, it's
- 19 a long time ago.
- 20 In preparation for your deposition today, did you discuss the case with anyone other than your 2.1
- 22 attorney?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 23 Office workers, co-workers. Α.
- 24 What co-workers did you discuss it with? Ο.
- 25 again, I want -- I'm not talking about anything that

r		
1	Paul o	r another attorney was present for, just
2	conver	sations you had outside of your counsel.
3	Α.	Oh, then no.
4	Q.	Do you remember if you reviewed any documents
5	in pre	paring for your deposition testimony today?
6	Α.	Other than with Mr. Woodard?
7	Q.	Yeah.
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Have you ever been arrested before?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	Ever been convicted of any crimes?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	Ever sued anyone before?
14	Α.	No.
15	Q.	Ever been sued before?
16	Α.	No.
17	Q.	Ever testified in court as a party?
18	Α.	I'm sorry?
19	Q.	Ever testified in court as a party?
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	Ever testified in court as a witness?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	Have you ever provided deposition testimony
24	prior	to today?

Α.

No.

- O. How long have you worked for Chiari & Ilecki?
- A. Since April of 2008, so three-and-a-half years
- 3 (sic).

14

15

16

17

18

- 4 Q. And what's your position?
- 5 A. Legal secretary, legal assistant.
- 6 Q. Is that what you were when you first started?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And just briefly, what's that entail? What are your general responsibilities or duties?
- A. Handling incoming phone calls, paperwork that
 comes back and forth from the courts, judges,
 paralegal work, any type of work that the attorneys
 actually assign to us as well, processing mail.
 - Q. Do you aid attorneys in drafting any correspondence?
 - A. Not necessarily. Usually everything is reviewed by the attorneys, and if there are forms that we do assist with, they're already prepared by the attorneys and they're reviewed before they go out.
- Q. Do you know if you assisted in any preparation of any documents on the William J. Wagner, Junior file?
- A. The only thing that I did in that particular file was just one phone call.
- Q. When you started with Chiari & Ilecki, do you

- recall if you were trained in fair debt collection or practices compliance?
- A. We were trained -- actually, yeah, I was
 trained by Antoinette and she is -- she was FDCPA
 certified. She is the one that trained me on
 telephone calls and also all of the other work that I
- 8 O. Who is Antoinette?

did as well.

7

- 9 A. Antoinette is the office paralegal. She's
 10 actually Bill's paralegal, and then any other training
 11 came directly from Mr. Ilecki himself.
 - Q. Did you take any exams?
- 13 A. Yes, we did become FDCPA certified.
- Q. When you say FDCPA certified, was that from a third-party or was that internal, meaning did Chiari & Ilecki give you an exam or did a third-party?
- 17 A. It was a third-party.
- Q. Do you remember when that was when you took your exam?
- A. I believe it was -- I would have to confirm the
 exact date, but I believe it was around August. It
 was either July or August of last year.
- Q. So when you first started, you don't think you took the exam?
- 25 A. When I first started --

1 MR. WOODARD: Form. 2 BY MR. ANDREWS: 3 You can answer. When you first started at Ο. Chiari & Ilecki? 4 5 I did not take it right away, no. Α. To the best of your recollection, it was July 6 or August of 2015? 7 8 Α. Correct. 9 Did you pass? Ο. 10 Α. Yes. I figured as much, you're sitting here. 11 Q. Α. 12 I believe I got a 96.5. 13 Probably better than I would do. Ο. 14 We're trained very, very well, very, very Α. 15 thoroughly, especially as far as phone calls go, very, very thoroughly. 16 17 Are you aware of any written material that the firm provides to you with respect to FDCPA compliance? 18 19 We did get -- we did actually get stuff from 20 the third-party that you were able to print out if you 2.1 did have any further questions, and if we did ever have questions as far as if there was a possibility of 22 23 something like that, we would always ask. We would 24 either be asking a paralegal or going directly to the

attorney and say, you know, I have a question.

- Q. As far as you know, there's no training manual or some kind of compliance manual that you're given by Chiari & Ilecki?
- A. There is, I believe it was actually handed out with the office handbook. It was what -- I don't know if you would say -- if I would call it compliance, but it was definitely rules of the office and how to handle it. I would have to look at it again.
- 9 Q. That was your recollection, that you received this?
- 11 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Do you know if that manual is updated ever or we'll call it, you know, the correspondence?
- 14 A. Almost like an office handbook.
- 15 O. Yeah.
 - A. I do believe it is updated and I believe

 Antoinette is the one that actually -- she updates it.
 - Q. I'm going to have you look at -- you've already got it in front of you, perfect. So the document that was magically placed in front of you, I think it was left from the last witness, it's Plaintiff's Exhibit A, and have you seen that document prior to today?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. If we look at 165, Chiari 165, and if we look at 3/19/25 (sic) and the third column for the dates,

1 are you following me? 2 I'm sorry, I was like where are you talking about? Oh, it actually is that one. 164, 166, 165, 3 there we go. Okay, sorry. 4 So it's the third column from the right. 5 got dates 3/19/2005 (sic). The next one says 6 7 telephone call, and if we look all the way to the left it's got your name, okay? So I'm just going to read 8 that comment section, which is the column to the far 9 right. William Wagner CO, I assume that means called 10 in? 11 Called office. 12 Α. 13 Called off, O for off makes sense (sic). Dash, 14 says he is not DBTR. Says his DOB is in 1950. 15 Dash, very upset he keeps getting LTRS from our office. Dash, ADV and can send a copy of DL and SS, 16 pound, if he'd like. Dash, he says for us to just let 17 WI know that he is not DBTR. Dash, ADV we did E-mail 18 ATNY. Was that accurate? 19 20 Correct. Α. 2.1 Did you ever notify any attorney of that Ο. 2.2 conversation? 23 I did not because the attorney had already been Α.

Form.

notified that he had contacted.

MR. WOODARD:

24

BY MR. ANDREWS:

2.1

- Q. So when you say advise we did e-mail attorney, meaning I'm not -- I'm not going to e-mail attorney, you're telling Mr. Wagner we already e-mailed an attorney about your dispute?
- A. Correct, we had already notified an attorney that he had called.
 - Q. Do you know, is there an office procedure or protocol in place for legal assistants to follow when a person who you think is the debtor or is identified as the debtor is disputing that they are in fact the debtor?
- A. If a person calls our office and they claim to not be the debtor or the person that we're trying to get in contact with --
 - Q. The debt from?
 - A. Correct. We ask them to provide some type of proof if they can, whether it be a copy of a driver's license that will have their date of birth, and if they're willing to provide something with, you know, even the last four of their social, and then we can confirm, you know, that it is not the file that we have in our office. We usually say if it's okay, you know, if they feel comfortable doing that, but other than that -- and then if they're not willing to do

that, we always notify the attorney. We always note the file of what they've said. We always note the file of who's called, and we also notify them that we're going to notify the attorney.

- Q. That policy you just testified to that's in place, do you know if that's in writing anywhere?
- A. I would have to check, but that is the office policy. That's always what has been enforced. If it's, you know, if that is the situation, it is always up to the attorney to handle.
- Q. Assuming it's not in writing, how did you come to learn of that policy?
 - A. We were trained that way. If that was the case when I was trained on phone calls, if there was a situation that happened like that, we were to note the file, notify the attorney if necessary, and it would be up to the attorney to review the file and go forward and as they see fit.
 - Q. Were you to notify attorney in every instance of a dispute?
- MR. WOODARD: Form.

THE WITNESS: If -- in particular, if they
hadn't been notified already, then we could. If they
absolutely insisted, like, we have a lot of times
people will call with the same question. If they

1 absolutely insist we can send multiple messages, but 2 if the attorney has already been notified, we can tell 3 them that the attorney has already been notified. BY MR. ANDREWS: 4 Not looking at the notes, would you remember 5 the 3/19/2015 telephone conversation with Mr. Wagner? 6 7 Not necessarily. As you sit here today, would you remember it? 8 Ο. Would I remember it word for word exactly? 9 Α. Q. Can you remember the conversation? Do you 10 11 remember parts of it? 12 Α. I remember vaquely. 13 Do you remember his -- it's okay if you don't, 14 I don't want you to guess -- his tone or his demeanor? I mean, was he calm? Was he irate? 15 Was he screaming? Was he yelling? I mean, was there anything that stood 16 17 out? I don't remember him being that way, but that's 18 19 why we note the file when we take the phone call. 20 Because if there was an instance say where you were to ask me today, you know, do you remember who you talked 21 22 to two weeks ago, we wouldn't necessarily remember it 23 unless it was yesterday, but that's why we note the

file when it happens, so that way going back we can

see what exactly happened that day and the time that

24

we took the phone call.

1

2

3

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Is there anything -- you said you vaguely remember I believe. Is there anything that sticks out in your mind?
- A. He might have been frustrated.
- 6 Q. Anything else?
- 7 A. Not really, no.
- Q. Do you remember if you stated to him that he would no longer be contacted by your office?
- A. I would never have said that because that's not up to -- it wouldn't have been up to me to decide to stop contacting him.
- Q. Do you remember if you told him you would send an E-mail to the attorney?
 - A. No. If I had seen in there that it was already sent to the attorney, I wouldn't send another one. I would note the file so that way when the attorney did review the file they would see okay, he's called. He's called again, you know, and that is also why we note the file too. So that way when the attorney

reviews the file they say okay, you know, he talked to

- 22 this person and then he called again and talked to
- 23 this person after that.
- Q. In your capacity as legal assistant, do you -do you assist in any way any of the preparation of the

```
1
    documents on this matter?
 2
            Not on that particular file, no.
        Α.
 3
                  MR. ANDREWS: Last one, you're all done.
 4
                  MR. WOODARD: No questions.
5
                  ***2:39 p.m.***
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	
3	PAGE LINE
4	change: 2008 should lead 2013
5	change: 3008 should lead 2013 reason: Start date was in April 2013 change: 3 19 2005 should lead 2015 reason: incollect year
6	reason: incollect year
7	change: off should read office reason:
8	
9	change: reason:
10	change: reason:
11	change:
12	reason:
13	change: reason:
14	change:
15	reason:
16	change:reason:
17	
18	I Kristian Brown hereby certify
19	that I did review and if necessary correct this deposition and that the foregoing pages through
20	18 are a true and accurate recording of said proceedings.
21	Kristian Brown
22	Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of December . 2010.
23	Victoria E. HOMMULON VICTORIA E HAMILTON
24	Notary Public NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF NEW YORK LICENSED IN NIACARA COUNTY
25	NO. 01HA6349307

1 STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ERIE 2 I, Molly Fenske, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: 3 4 That the witness whose testimony appears herein before was, before the commencement of his deposition, 5 duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth; that such testimony was 6 taken pursuant to notice at the time and place herein set forth; that said testimony was taken down in 7 shorthand by me and thereafter under my supervision transcribed into the English language, and I hereby 8 certify the foregoing testimony is a full, true and correct transcription of the shorthand notes so taken. I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any parties to said action, nor in 10 anywise interested in the outcome thereof. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 11th day of November, 2016. 12 13 14 15 16 17 Notary Public 18 State of New York 19 20 2.1 2.2 23 24 25